Performance of Garden Pea Genotypes at High Hills of Nepal

Raj Kumar Giri^{1*}, Basant Chalise¹, Pragati Babu Paneru¹, Giri Dhari Subedi², Tul Bahadur Pun³, Bishwash Poudel⁴ and Sanjiv Gautam⁵

¹Horticultural Research Station, Rajikot, Jumla

²Horticultural Research Division, Khumaltar, Lalitpur

³Regional Agricultural Research Station, Lumle

⁴Outreach Research Division, Khumaltar, Lalitpur

⁵National Potato Research Program, Khumaltar, Lalitpur

*Corresponding author: rk1 giri@yahoo.com

Received on: 5-7-2017, revised on: 30-8-2017, accepted on: 3-9-2017

Abstract

Eleven Garden pea genotypes viz. DGP 01, DGP 02, DGP 03, DGP 04, DGP 05, DGP 06, DGP 07, DGP 08, DGP 09, DGP 10 and Arkel, a check variety, were evaluated for selection of suitable high yielding genotypes for Jumla, a high hill district of mid-western region of Nepal during 2014 and 2015. The study was conducted at Horticulture Research Station, Rajikot, Jumla by using randomized complete block design with 3 replications. The highest average fresh pod yield (10.08 t/h) was observed in DGP 09 followed by DGP 01 (9.55 t/ha) and DGP 03 (9.53 t/ha). Organoleptic evaluation showed that the pods of DGP 03 and DGP 09 had acceptable quality in taste. Based on the results, genotypes DGP-09 and DGP-03 were found to be good in vegetative, organoleptic as well as yield component characters. So, these genotypes can be recommended for commercial production in Karnali zone of Nepal.

Keywords: Fresh pod, Garden pea, Yield

Introduction

Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) belonging to family Leguminosaeae is an important leguminous crop. Peas are very common nutritious vegetable grown in cool season throughout the world (Pandita and Pratap, 1986). In Nepal, it is an important vegetable as well as pulse crop. It is one of the richest sources of protein (18-35 % in dry seeds). In Nepal, green peas are widely grown in terai in winter but in summer in hills (Ghale *et al.* 2004; Poon *et al.* 2004).

Peas are cultivated for the fresh green seeds, tender green pods, dried seeds and foliage too. The nutritional composition of pea crop in 100 g of edible portion is moisture – 72%, Calories- 93, Carbohydrate - 15.9%, Thiamine- 0.25mg, Riboflavin- 9mg, Protein- 7.2%, Fat- 0.1 g, Calcium- 20 mg, B carotene-83mg, Iron-1.5mg (Pun and Karmacharya, 1988). It is a good source of vitamins A, B and C and also contains a high proportion of minerals (Khvostova, 1983). Green peas are eaten cooked as a vegetable, and are marketed fresh, canned, or frozen while ripe dried peas are used whole, split, or made into flour. Pulses are important food crops for the food security of large proportions of populations, particularly in Latin America, Africa and Asia, where pulses are part of traditional diets and often grown by small farmers (FAO, 2016). It is favorite with almost everyone and is always in great demand round the year and it is excellent food for human consumption. It can tolerate frost and freezing during its vegetative growth but frost is harmful to flower and pod (Pun and Karmacharya, 1988).

The productivity of pea in Nepal is very low. The consumption rate of pea in Nepal is estimated at 360 g and 70 g per capita per annum in the urban and rural areas, respectively (Shah, 2004). In Nepal, the cultivated area of pea is estimated to be 6520 ha with total production of 63489 tons and productivity 9.74 t/ha (ABPSD, 2014). In Karnali region pea growing area is 19 ha with green pod production of 125 metric tons with productivity 6.58 mt/ ha (ABPSD, 2015). This indicates that average green pod yield of peas in Karnali region is very low as compared to the national productivity.

There is no one variety recommended in Karnali region for commercial cultivation. It is fact that all cultivars do not perform equally well in all areas. It is essential to know the performance of cultivars in a specific area. The variety selection is one of the best options for productivity increment in pea.

To minimize the import of pea from outside, there is a big scope for increasing the yield through adoption of suitable high yielding varieties. Therefore, this study was conducted to select high yielding and consumer /farmer preferred pea genotypes suitable for mid-western high hill of Nepal.

Materials and Methods

Eleven different promising garden pea genotypes viz. DGP 01, DGP 02, DGP 03, DGP 04, DGP 05, DGP 06, DGP 07, DGP 08, DGP 09, DGP 10 and Arkel (a released improved variety used as check) were tested at the research station, Rajkot (altitude: 2300 masl, latitude: 28°58' to 29°30' North and longitude: 81°18' to 82°18' East) in Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications during 2014 and 2016 under Coordinated Varietal Trial (CVT). Being an organic district, the plots were fertilized with 20 ton compost/ha. Seed sowing (breeder seed) was done in the last week of Falgun at crop geometry of 50 cm x 10 cm. Individual plot size was 3.6 m² (2m × 1.8m). The necessary data for growth (number of germinated seeds per plot, plant height), yield and yield parameters (days to first fresh pod harvest, number of pods per plant, numbers of seeds per pod, length of pod and fresh pod yield) were collected and analyzed. The data was analyzed by MSTATC.

Result and Discussion

Vegetative Parameters

Plant height, days to 50% flowering, position of the first flower, days to first and last fresh pod harvest, number of seeds per pod, length of pod, fresh pod yield, hundred green seed weight, organoleptic evaluation and shelling percentage were found significant among each other.

Maximum germination (90.04%) was observed in genotype DGP 09 followed by DGP 03 (81.71%) whereas the minimum (57.64%) was in DGP 04. The tallest plants (72.58 cm) were measured in DGP 09 followed by DGP 03 (65.58 cm) and the dwarfest (40.22 cm) was in Arkel. Days to 50 % flowering was early (68.5 days after sowing i.e. DAS) in DGP 10 followed by DGP 02 (69.5 DAS) and DGP 06 (69.5 DAS) whereas DGP 03 was latest (74.67 DAS) in flowering (Table 1).

Table 1. Vegetative and Reproductive characters of garden pea genotypes evaluated at HRS, Rajikot, Jumla during 2014 and 2016

| Tuestments | Ge | rmination | (%) | Pla | nt Height (| cm) | Days to 50 % flower | | | |
|------------|-----------|-----------|------------|----------|-------------|----------|---------------------|----------|----------|--|
| Treatments | 2014 | 2016 | Mean | 2014 | 2016 | Mean | 2014 | 2016 | Mean | |
| DGP 01 | 74.54 abc | 82.87 ab | 78.71 abc | 51.53 d | 54.03 d | 52.78 d | 74.00 c | 72.00 b | 73.00 b | |
| DGP 02 | 65.28 bcd | 73.15 bc | 69.21 bcde | 54.27 cd | 56.77 cd | 55.52 cd | 70.00 f | 69.00 cd | 69.50 e | |
| DGP 03 | 79.63 ab | 83.80 ab | 81.71 ab | 64.33 b | 66.83 b | 65.58 b | 75.00 b | 74.33 a | 74.67 a | |
| DGP 04 | 51.39 de | 63.89 c | 57.64 e | 56.83 с | 59.33 с | 58.08 с | 71.00 e | 70.00 с | 70.50 cd | |
| DGP 05 | 75.46 abc | 78.24 bc | 76.85 abc | 53.67 cd | 56.17 cd | 54.92 cd | 72.00 d | 69.67 cd | 70.83 cd | |
| DGP 06 | 62.50 cde | 71.30 bc | 66.90 cde | 54.53 cd | 57.03 cd | 55.78 cd | 70.00 f | 69.00 cd | 69.50 e | |
| DGP 07 | 70.83 abc | 75.93 bc | 73.38 bcd | 52.20 d | 54.70 d | 53.45 d | 71.00 e | 69.00 cd | 70.00 de | |
| DGP 08 | 76.39 abc | 73.61 bc | 75.00 bcd | 53 cd | 55.50 cd | 54.25 cd | 72.00 d | 70.67 bc | 71.33 с | |
| DGP 09 | 83.33 a | 96.76 a | 90.04 a | 71.33 a | 73.83 a | 72.58 a | 75.33 a | 70.00 c | 72.67 b | |
| DGP 10 | 79.17 ab | 81.94 b | 80.56 abc | 51.40 d | 53.90 d | 52.65 d | 69.00 g | 68.00 d | 68.50 f | |
| Arkel | 49.54 e | 72.69 bc | 61.11 de | 39.63 3 | 40.80 e | 40.22 e | 71.00 e | 69.00 cd | 70.00 de | |
| F Test | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | |
| CV (%) | 12.20 | 10.35 | 10.20 | 4.10 | 3.70 | 3.90 | 0.20 | 1.31 | 0.83 | |
| LSD (0.05) | 14.49 | 13.70 | 12.87 | 3.85 | 3.64 | 3.71 | 0.30 | 1.56 | 0.70 | |

^{**,} Significant at P≤0.01. LSD, Least Significant Difference. CV, Coefficient of Variance

Reproductive Parameters

Position of first flower ranged from 5th to 10th node among the genotypes. The first flower position of Arkel appeared on 5th node whereas it appeared on 10th node in DGP03 and DGP09 and the difference was statistically significant. Days to first fresh pod harvest was earliest (77 DAS) in genotype DGP 06 followed by Arkel (78 DAS) and DGP 02, DGP 04, DGP 05, DGP 08 (79 DAS) whereas the first fresh pod harvesting was late in DGP 09 (82.83 DAS) and DGP 03 (85.5 DAS). Similarly, days to last fresh pod harvest was early (92.5 DAS) in DGP 05 followed by DGP 06 and Arkel (93.5 DAS) whereas the highest number of days to last fresh pod harvest (98.5 days) was recorded in DGP 03 and DGP 09 (Table 2).

Reproductive Parameters

Position of first flower ranged from 5th to 10th node among the genotypes. The first flower position of Arkel appeared on 5th node whereas it appeared on 10th node in DGP03 and DGP09 and the difference was statistically significant. Days to first fresh pod harvest was earliest (77 DAS) in genotype DGP 06 followed by Arkel (78 DAS) and DGP 02, DGP 04, DGP 05, DGP 08 (79 DAS) whereas the first fresh pod harvesting was late in DGP 09 (82.83 DAS) and DGP 03 (85.5 DAS). Similarly, days to last fresh pod harvest was early (92.5 DAS) in DGP 05 followed by DGP 06 and Arkel (93.5 DAS) whereas the highest number of days to last fresh pod harvest (98.5 days) was recorded in DGP 03 and DGP 09 (Table 2).

Table 2. Reproductive and yield characters of garden pea genotypes evaluated at HRS, Rajikot, Jumla during 2014 and 2016

| Treatments | Posit | ion of first fl | Days to |) first fres harvest | sh pod | Days to last fresh pod harvest | | | |
|------------|----------|-----------------|----------|-------------------------|--------|--------------------------------|----------|-----------|------------|
| | 2014 | 2016 | Mean | 2014 | 2016 | Mean | 2014 | 2016 | Mean |
| DGP 01 | 8.87 cd | 8.80 abc | 8.83 b | 82.67 b | 81.67 | 82.17 | 95.00 d | 96.00 abc | 95.50 be |
| DGP 02 | 8.23 de | 8.40 abcd | 8.32 bcd | 80.00 c | 78.00 | 79.00 | 98.00 b | 97.00 ab | 97.50 ab |
| DGP 03 | 10.30 a | 9.33 ab | 9.82 a | 85.00 a | 86.00 | 85.50 | 98.33 ab | 98.00 a | 98.50 a |
| DGP 04 | 6.33 f | 6.67 e | 6.50 f | 80.00 c | 78.00 | 79.00 | 95.00 d | 94.00 abc | 94.50 efg |
| DGP 05 | 7.80 e | 7.23 de | 7.52 ce | 80.00 c | 78.00 | 79.00 | 93.00 f | 92.00 c | 92.50 g |
| DGP 06 | 7.87 e | 7.67 cde | 7.77 cde | 78.00 d | 76.00 | 77.00 | 94.00 e | 93.00 bc | 93.50 eg |
| DGP 07 | 8.37 cde | 8.27 bcd | 8.32 bcd | 80.00 c | 78.33 | 79.17 | 98.00 b | 97.00 ab | 97.50 abc |
| DGP 08 | 9.13 bc | 9.00 ab | 9.07 ab | 80.00 c | 78.00 | 79.00 | 98.00 b | 97.00 ab | 97.50 abcd |
| DGP 09 | 9.80 ab | 9.57 a | 9.68 a | 84.67 a | 81.00 | 82.83 | 98.67 a | 98.00 a | 98.50 a |
| DGP 10 | 8.80 cd | 8.43 abcd | 8.62 b | 80.00 c | 78.00 | 79.00 | 96.00 с | 95.00 abc | 95.50 bcef |
| Arkel | 4.33 g | 5.53 f | 4.93 g | 79.00 cd | 77.00 | 78.00 | 94.00 e | 93.00 bc | 93.50 efg |
| F Test | ** | ** | ** | ** | ns | ns | ** | * | ** |
| CV (%) | 5.80 | 7.97 | 5.50 | 0.90 | 8.57 | 4.30 | 0.20 | 2.28 | 1.86 |
| LSD (0.05) | 0.81 | 1.09 | 0.76 | 1.71 | | | 0.41 | 3.71 | 1.10 |

^{*,} Significant at $P \le 0.05$. **, $P \le 0.01$. LSD, Least Significant Difference. CV, Coefficient of Variance

Pod Characteristics

Number of pods per plant ranged from 13 to 16. Though it was found non significant among each other, comparatively the highest number of pods (16) were counted in DGP 09 and Arkel and the least (13) in DGP 10. Number of seeds per pod was the highest (8) in DGP 09 and DGP 03 (8) whereas the lowest number (5) was in DGP 06. The longest pod length (8.99 cm) were recorded in DGP 09 followed by DGP 03 (8.80 cm) and the shortest pod length (6.16 cm) in DGP 06 (Table 3).

Table 3. Pod characters of garden pea genotypes at HRS, Rajikot, Jumla during 2014 and 2016

| Treatments | No. o | of pods per | plant | No. | of seeds pe | r pod | Length of pods (cm) | | | |
|------------|-------|-------------|-------|----------|-------------|----------|---------------------|---------|---------|--|
| | 2014 | 2016 | Mean | 2014 | 2016 | Mean | 2014 | 2016 | Mean | |
| DGP 01 | 14.40 | 14.07 | 14.23 | 7.42 a | 7.42 bc | 7.42 ab | 8.99 ab | 7.86 bc | 8.43 bc | |
| DGP 02 | 14.33 | 13.00 | 13.67 | 7.00a | 6.00 ab | 6.52 bc | 7.97 de | 7.91 bc | 7.94 d | |
| DGP 03 | 14.57 | 14.57 | 14.57 | 7.22 a | 8.13 ab | 7.68 a | 9.25 a 8.35 ab | | 8.80 ab | |
| DGP 04 | 15.5 | 15.60 | 15.55 | 5.57 bc | 5.33 e | 5.45 de | 7.13 f | 6.33 f | 6.73 g | |
| DGP 05 | 13.33 | 15.90 | 14.62 | 6.40 b | 6.17 de | 6.28 cd | 7.57 ef | 6.58 ef | 7.08 fg | |
| DGP 06 | 14.07 | 15.53 | 14.80 | 5.10 c | 5.43 e | 5.27 e | 5.85 g | 6.48 ef | 6.16 h | |
| DGP 07 | 13.60 | 13.60 | 13.60 | 6.43 ab | 6.47 cd | 6.45 bcd | 7.66 e | 7.11 de | 7.38 ef | |
| DGP 08 | 11.53 | 14.53 | 13.03 | 6.43 ab | 6.77 cd | 6.60 bc | 8.57 bc | 7.57 cd | 8.07 cd | |
| DGP 09 | 12.90 | 19.13 | 16.02 | 7.01 a | 8.47 a | 7.74 a | 8.93 ab | 9.03 a | 8.99 a | |
| DGP 10 | 12.63 | 12.90 | 12.77 | 6.68 ab | 6.77 cd | 6.73 abc | 8.17 cd | 7.32 cd | 7.75 de | |
| Arkel | 14.90 | 17.30 | 16.10 | 6.23 abc | 6.52 cd | 6.38 bcd | 7.62 e | 6.57 ef | 7.09 fg | |
| F Test | ns | ns | ns | * | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | |
| CV (%) | 29.30 | 19.65 | 21.00 | 10.50 | 8.06 | 8.50 | 3.40 | 5.53 | 3.40 | |
| LSD (0.05) | | | | 1.62 | 0.91 | 0.96 | 0.46 | 0.69 | 0.45 | |

^{*,} Significant at $P \le 0.05$. **, $P \le 0.01$. LSD, Least Significant Difference. CV, Coefficient of Variance

Pod Yield

Fresh pod yield differed significantly among the genotypes (Table 4). The highest pod yield (10.08 t/ha) was recorded in DGP-09 but it was statistically similar with DGP-01 (9.55 t/ha), DGP-03 (9.53 t/ha), DGP-02 (6.86 t/ha) and DGP-07 (6.37 t/ha). But the lowest yield (3.85 t/ha) was given by DGP 06.

Weight of hundred green seed was the highest (47.33 g) in DGP 09 followed by DGP 03 (46.83 g) and the lowest (31.17 g) in DGP 06. Based on the result of organoleptic evaluation, Arkel was found the best in quality (8.27) i.e. tasty followed by DGP 06 (8.17), DGP 03 (7.5) and DGP 09 (7) whereas DGP 02 was found with the lowest organoleptic value (1.67). The highest shelling percentage was found in DGP 04 (57.75%) followed by DGP 10 (53.96%) and DGP 08 (53.03%) and the lowest (49.83%) in DGP 06 (Table 4).

Table 4. Pod yield, organoleptic evaluation and shelling percentage of garden pea genotypes at HRS, Rajikot, Jumla during 2014 and 2016

| Treatments | Fresh pod yield (t/ha) | | | 100 green seed weight (g) | | | Organ | oleptic eva | Shelling % | | | |
|------------|------------------------|-------|----------|---------------------------|---------|----------|--------|-------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|
| | 2014 | 2016 | Mean | 2014 | 2016 | Mean | 2014 | 2016 | Mean | 2014 | 2016 | Mean |
| DGP 01 | 10.83 a | 8.26 | 9.55 ab | 45.33 a | 44.33 b | 44.83 ab | 2.00 g | 3.33 с | 2.67 fg | 53.73 | 52.79 | 53.26 |
| DGP 02 | 6.21 ab | 7.52 | 6.86 abc | 35.67 c | 35.00 с | 35.33 d | 1.33 h | 2.00 c | 1.67 g | 52.44 | 49.77 | 51.11 |
| DGP 03 | 10.72 a | 8.34 | 9.53 ab | 42.67 ab | 51.00 a | 46.83 a | 7.00 b | 8.00 a | 7.50 ab | 50.84 | 51.49 | 51.17 |
| DGP 04 | 4.21 b | 4.44 | 4.33 c | 42.67 ab | 41.33 b | 42.00 bc | 3.00 f | 4.00 c | 3.50 ef | 58.41 | 57.09 | 57.75 |
| DGP 05 | 4.44 b | 5.80 | 5.12 c | 41.33 ab | 40.33 b | 40.83 с | 4.00 e | 3.00 c | 3.50 ef | 52.16 | 49.46 | 50.81 |
| DGP 06 | 3.63 b | 4.07 | 3.85 c | 29.00 d | 33.33 с | 31.17 e | 8.00 a | 7.33 ab | 8.17 a | 50.77 | 48.89 | 49.83 |
| DGP 07 | 6.34 ab | 6.41 | 6.37 abc | 39.67 bc | 41.00 b | 40.33 с | 6.00 c | 7.33 ab | 6.67 bc | 53.23 | 51.05 | 52.14 |
| DGP 08 | 6.50 ab | 5.54 | 6.02 bc | 39.00 bc | 41.00 b | 40.00 c | 6.00 c | 5.00 bc | 5.50 с | 54.73 | 51.32 | 53.03 |
| DGP 09 | 10.30 a | 9.86 | 10.08 a | 41.67 ab | 53.00 a | 47.33 a | 6.00 c | 8.00 a | 7.00 ab | 48.69 | 53.25 | 50.97 |
| DGP 10 | 5.59 ab | 5.52 | 5.55 c | 41.00 ab | 41.33 b | 41.17 c | 5.00 d | 4.67 bc | 4.83 de | 58.11 | 49.81 | 53.96 |
| Arkel | 4.67 b | 3.94 | 4.30 c | 40.33 b | 41.33 b | 40.83 c | 8.33 a | 8.53 a | 8.27 a | 52.91 | 46.84 | 49.88 |
| F Test | * | ns | * | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ns | ns | ns |
| CV (%) | 41.10 | 40.52 | 31.70 | 6.30 | 5.80 | 5.00 | 6.90 | 28.35 | 14.70 | 8.80 | 9.69 | 7.46 |
| LSD (0.05) | 4.68 | | 3.51 | 4.24 | 4.18 | 3.46 | 0.61 | 2.69 | 1.35 | | | |

^{*,} Significant at P ≤ 0.05. **, P≤0.01. LSD, Least Significant Difference. CV, Coefficient of Variance

Discussion

Variations observed in vegetative as well as yield parameters show the wide genetic diversity among the genotypes. The position of first flower ranged from 4.93 to 9.82 based on average data. Similar trend was also reported by Poudel *et al.*, (2017) and Poon *et al.*, (2004). Days to 50 % flowering ranged from 68.5 to 74.67 days after sowing whereas findings of Poudel showed the range from 40.17 to 58.67 days after sowing. Days to first fresh pod harvest differed with the result of Poudel *et al.*, 2017. Likewise,the number of pods per plant (12.77 to 16.1) differed from the finding of Poudel *et al.*, 2017. But, the number of seeds per pod (5.27 to 7.74) and length of pods (6.16 to 8.99) of tested genotypes were in the line with the results of Ghale *et al.*, (2004) and Choudhary and Sharma (2004).

Hundred green seed weight was the highest (47.33 gm) in DGP 09 followed by DGP 03 (46.83 gm). This was similar to the findings of Poudel *et al.* (2017). The highest fresh pod yield (10.08 t/ha) was found in DGP 09 followed by DGP 01 (9.55 t/ha) and DGP 03 (9.53 t/ha) whereas DGP 06 showed the lowest (3.85 t/ha) fresh pod yield.

The present result is in similar pattern upto certain extent (for the highest fresh pod yield) but differed for the second highest and the lowest genotypes when compared with the results of other reports (Poudel *et al.*, 2017: Javaid *et al.*, 2002 and Chadha *et al.*, 2013). This study showed phenotypic diversity among the genotypes. Such variation would be important for the selection of high yielding and desired traits in variety development program.

Conclusion

Based on the average yield, DGP 09 (10.08 mt/ha), DGP 01 (9.55 mt/ha) and DGP 03 (9.53 mt/ha) were found to be high yielding genotypes. Though the average yield was high in genotype DGP 01, the organoleptic performance was not so good whereas DGP 03 and DGP 09 were good with respect to organoleptic evaluation too. Based on the result of vegetative as well as yield performance of both the years, DGP 03 and DGP 09 seemed suitable for commercial cultivation in Rajikot or similar conditions in Jumla.

References

- ABPSD. 2014/15. Statistical Information on Nepalese Agriculture. Government of Nepal, Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperatives. Agri-Business Promotion and Statistics Division, Singha Durbar, Kathmandu, Nepal.
- Chadha S, Rameshwar, JP Saini and S Sharma .2013. Performance of different varieties of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) under organic farming conditions in mid himalayas. International J. Agric. Food Science Tech. 4)7:(733-738.
- Choudhary DK and RR Sharma. 2004. Correlation and Path Analysis in F1 and F2 Generations of Garden Pea. **In:** Agricultural Research for Enhancing Livelihood of Nepalese People (BK Joshi, SL Joshi and KP Paudyal, eds). Proc. 2nd SAS-N Convention, 30 July-1 Aug 2003, Kathmandu, pp.204-206.
- FAO. 2016. International year of Pulses 2016, 56. pp.15-20.
- Ghale MS, BH Adhikary, BJ BC and C Adhikary. 2004. Production Potential of Different Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) Genotypes in Acid Soils of Malepatan. **In:** Agricultural Research for Enhancing Livelihood of Nepalese People (BK Joshi, SL Joshi and KP Paudyal, eds). Proc. 2nd SAS-N Convention, 30 July-1 Aug 2003, Kathmandu, pp.249-251.
- Javaid A, A Ghafoor and R Anwar. 2002. Evaluation of local and exotic pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) Germplasm for vegetable and dry grain traits. Pak. J. Bot. 34(4):419-427.
- Khvostova, V. V. (1983). Genetics and Breeding of Peas: Published by Oxonian Press PVT. Ltd., New Delhi, India.
- Pandita, M. L., & Pratap, P. S. (1986). In vegetable crops, pea and beans. Bidhan Sarani, Culcutta, India. Page. pp. 549-580.
- Poon TB, HN Regmi, BB Pokhrel, OB Oli and SR Sharma. 2004. Potential of Promising Varieties of Garden Pea in Relation to Determinate Characters, the Efficiency of Green Pod Yield and Monetary Returns under the Mid-hill Environments of Dailekh. **In:** Agricultural Research for Enhancing Livelihood of Nepalese People (BK Joshi, SL Joshi and KP Paudyal, eds). Proc. 2nd SAS-N Convention, 30 July-1 Aug 2003, Kathmandu, pp.111-114.
- Poudel, K, A Karkee, MK Shah and S.Karkee. 2017. Performance of Garden pea Genotypes in Eastern Hills of Nepal. Journal of Nepal Agricultural Research Council. Vol. 3: 15-18.
- Pun, L., & Karmacharya, B. B. (1988). Vegetable Trainer Manual. Manpower development agriculture project (MDAP/DoA), Kathmandu, Nepal.
- Shah, V. K. (2004). Effect of sowing time and row spacing on yield and quality of seed of pea crop at Rampur, Chitwan (Master Thesis, Tribhuvan University, Institute of Agriculture and Animal Science, Rampur, Chitwan, Nepal).